Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes made to Figures 1 and 2.

The drawings have legends applied to the blocks in Figs. 1 and 2.

REMARKS

The present invention is a method of handling Wireless Session Protocol (WSP) sessions between a wireless communication terminal and a corresponding server, a wireless communication network for handling Wireless Session Protocol (WSP) sessions between a wireless communication terminal and a corresponding server connected via said network, a server unit for use in a wireless communication network for supporting Wireless Session Protocol (WSP) sessions and a wireless communication terminal for use in a wireless communication network for supporting Wireless Session Protocol (WSP) sessions with a server. The present invention provides a savings of session costs by eliminating the need for re-sending user profile information many times. See page 5, lines 8-28, of the Specification.

The Examiner has required new drawings. Submitted herewith are new drawings having legends applied to the blocks of Figs. 1 and 2.

Claims 17-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner states that with respect to claims 17, 23, 25, 29 and 35 the "forwarding or receiving a request for earlier requested data to the server" is not described in the original specification.

The USPTO rules of practice in 37 C.F.R. §1.75(d)(1) states that "(t)he claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims <u>must find clear support</u> or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. It is therefore unnecessary for the original specification to use the exact terminology "forwarding or receiving a request for earlier requested data to the server" as long as a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that this material is disclosed in the specification.

The Examiner is referred to Fig. 2 and the disclosure thereof on page 12 of the original specification which describes a sequence of a first request 140 on line 5 comprising "an identification of the requested data and a header indicating the data format which shall be handled by the communication terminal 100" and then on lines 17-20 that "when the communication terminal once again initiates a recession, and forwards a request 120 of the same data as in the first step, the request 120 includes an identification of the requested data and the communication terminal identification number received from the server 220." It is therefore seen that the request 120 of the same data as the first step inherently is a "forwarding of a request for earlier requested data to the server since the request 120 is clearly taught to contain the same data as the first step 140. Accordingly, it is submitted that the subject matter of the claims "forwarding or receiving a request for earlier requested data to the server" which the Examiner has stated the original specification fails to describe, in fact is clearly conveyed to a person of ordinary skill in the art based upon the aforementioned sequence of steps as illustrated in Fig 2 and described on page 12 of the original specification.

In view of the foregoing remarks it is submitted that each of the claims is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, to the Deposit Account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP,

Dep. Acct. No. 01-2135 (1030.39226X00), and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONECAI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

Donald E. Stout Reg. No. 26,422

DES/kmh 703-312-6600